

**TENTATIVE RULINGS for CIVIL LAW and MOTION**  
**June 11, 2015**

Pursuant to Yolo County Local Rules, the following tentative rulings will become the order of the court unless, by 4:00 p.m. on the court day before the hearing, a party requests a hearing and notifies other counsel of the hearing. To request a hearing, you must contact the clerk of the department where the hearing is to be held. Copies of the tentative rulings will be posted at the entrance to the courtroom and on the Yolo Courts Website, at [www.yolo.courts.ca.gov](http://www.yolo.courts.ca.gov). If you are scheduled to appear and there is no tentative ruling in your case, you should appear as scheduled.

Telephone number for the clerk in Department Two: (530) 406-6843

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case: Estate of Orosco**  
**Case No. CV P2 14-130**  
**Hearing Date: June 11, 2015 Department Two 9:00 a.m.**

Arnulfo K. Orosco's unopposed motion to bifurcate the issue of the statute of limitations is **GRANTED**. (Code of Civ. Proc., §§ 597, 598, *Grappo v. Coventry Financial Corp.* (1991) 235 Cal.App.3d 496, 504.)

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case: Jaime v. Results Realty & Mortgage**  
**Case No. CV G 13-729**  
**Hearing Date: June 11, 2015 Department Two 9:00 a.m.**

Defendants Sandy L. Redmond, Tyson Redmond, Results Realty & Mortgage, and Federal National Mortgage Association's motion to dismiss plaintiff Samuel Jaime's complaint is **GRANTED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 583.420, subd. (a)(1).) Plaintiff has not effected service on these defendants within two years after the action was commenced. The declaration tardily submitted by plaintiff is not properly verified, and does not adequately explain why plaintiff has not effected service on these moving defendants within the preceding two years despite previous attempts to do so. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2015.5.)

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case:** Kern v. Chubb Group of Insurance Companies  
Case No. CV PT 14-1613  
Kern v. Tully  
Case No. CV PM 14-1293  
**Hearing Date:** June 11, 2015 Department Two 9:00 a.m.

Plaintiff Daniel Kern’s unopposed motion to consolidate the above-referenced cases is **GRANTED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1048.) The two matters entail the resolution of common questions of law or fact. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1048, subd. (a).)

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case:** Sacramento Floormasters, Inc. v. Sac Profloors, Inc.  
Case No. CV CV 13-2165  
**Hearing Date:** June 11, 2015 Department Two 9:00 a.m.

Defendants Sac Profloors, Inc., Enrique Curbelo, and Gene Sorenson’s motion to continue trial is **GRANTED**. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332.) The trial date of August 3, 2015 is **VACATED**. The parties are directed to appear at a case management conference on June 29, 2015, in Department 2 at 9:00 a.m. to reset the trial.

Plaintiffs Sacramento Floormasters, Inc. and DT Floormasters, Inc.’s motion to disqualify Wood Robbins LLP is **CONTINUED** on the Court’s own motion to be heard on June 12, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. in Department 2, with Wood Robbins LLP’s motion to be relieved as counsel.

Cross-defendants Donald Lau and Teresa Lau’s motion for summary judgment, or in the alternative, summary adjudication and defendants Sac Profloors, Inc., Enrique Curbelo, and Gene Sorenson’s motion for summary judgment, or in the alternative, summary adjudication, are **CONTINUED** on the Court’s own motion to be heard on August 14, 2015. This continuance has been necessitated by the parties’ voluminous filings in support of their moving and opposing papers. This case now comprises 47 volumes. The Court has previously admonished the parties to curtail the amount of unnecessary filings with the Court. Future failures to limit the parties’ filings to only those documents necessary for the consideration of the matter before the Court may result in the Court’s exercising its authority under Code of Civil Procedure section 128.7 and imposing sanctions on the offending parties. The parties shall not file any additional papers in support of or in opposition to the motions based on the continuance.

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case: Sonnenburg v. Hewlett-Packard Co.  
Case No. CV CV 12-2438**

**Hearing Date: June 11, 2015 Department Two 9:00 a.m.**

Plaintiff David Sonnenburg's motion for trial preference is **DENIED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 36.) While the declarations submitted detail the plaintiff's present medical conditions, they do not sufficiently establish that a preference is necessary to prevent prejudicing his interest in the litigation.

If no hearing is requested, the tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312 or further notice is required.